

Rodrigues Formula for the Nonsymmetric Multivariable Laguerre Polynomial

Akinori NISHINO*, Hideaki UJINO** and Miki WADATI

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, University of Tokyo,
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033*

(Received)

Extending a method developed by Takamura and Takano, we present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials which form the orthogonal basis for the B_N -type Calogero model with distinguishable particles. Our construction makes it possible for the first time to algebraically generate all the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with different parities for each variable.

KEYWORDS: nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial, B_N -Calogero model, Dunkl-Cherednik operator, Rodrigues formula

§1. Introduction

Quantum many-body problems have played an important role in various fields of physics. In particular, exactly solvable models have been extensively studied by many physicists and mathematicians. Since exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics have the same number of mutually commuting conserved operators as their degrees of freedom, they are usually called quantum integrable systems. A good example of them is the Calogero-Sutherland type model (C-S model)^{1,2,3)} which has inverse-square long-range interactions between particles in a one-dimensional space. The Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation is a very powerful tool for systematic construction of the conserved operators for many kinds of C-S models.^{4,5)} Their simultaneous eigenfunctions, which form the orthogonal bases of their Hilbert spaces, are written by products of the Jastrow type ground state wave function and multivariable orthogonal polynomials that are generalized versions of classical orthogonal polynomials.

The Sutherland model describing the particles on a circle is a representative of the family of the C-S models. The orthogonal basis for the Sutherland model has been known as the Jack polynomial.^{6,7,8,9)} Richness of its analytic properties enables us to calculate the Green function, the density-density correlation function and so on.^{10,11,12,13)} That is why studies on the multivariable orthogonal polynomials have interested many physicists.

On the other hand, the Calogero model describing the particles in the harmonic potential¹⁾ has been treated by the Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation as well as the Sutherland model. The orthogonal basis of the Calogero model is a one-parameter deformation of the Jack polynomial, i.e. the Hi-Jack polynomial,^{14,15)} which is called the multivariable Hermite polynomial from the

viewpoint of generalization of the classical orthogonal polynomial. The orthogonal bases for other C-S models were also constructed.¹⁶⁾

Under the stimulus of the Haldane-Shastry model,^{17,18)} the C-S models with spin degrees of freedom appeared.^{19,20,21,22)} To investigate the orbital part of the eigenfunction, the C-S models with distinguishable particles were introduced. The Hamiltonians for these C-S models have the coordinate exchange operator K_{jk} acting on an arbitrary N -variable function as follows,

$$K_{jk}f(\cdots, x_j, \cdots, x_k, \cdots) = f(\cdots, x_k, \cdots, x_j, \cdots).$$

To obtain eigenfunctions of the C-S models with distinguishable particles, it is enough to consider nonsymmetric polynomials diagonalizing their Cherednik operators. Knop and Sahi recursively constructed the nonsymmetric Jack polynomial for the Sutherland model with distinguishable particles.²³⁾ Baker and Forrester translated it to other nonsymmetric polynomials.^{24,25)}

Recently, Takamura and Takano algebraically constructed the nonsymmetric Jack polynomial.²⁶⁾ The essential point of their work is introduction of two types of operators, i.e. the braid-exclusion and the raising operators. In the previous paper,²⁷⁾ we considered the Calogero model with distinguishable particles,

$$\hat{H}_C^{(A)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N (p_j^2 + \omega^2 x_j^2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \frac{a(a - K_{jk})}{(x_j - x_k)^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constants a and ω are the coupling parameter and the strength of the external harmonic well, respectively, and $p_j = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Generalizing the method developed by Takamura and Takano, we provided the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Hermite polynomial. While it is difficult to compute the coefficient of the top term of the polynomial, it is easy to give simple expressions for the nonsymmetric eigenfunctions and to calculate their norms by the Takamura-Takano method.

* E-mail address: nishino@monet.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

** E-mail address: ujino@monet.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

The above Calogero Hamiltonian (1.1) is invariant under the action of the A_{N-1} -type Weyl group, i.e. under S_N , on the indices of the particle. Thus the model is sometimes called the A_{N-1} -Calogero model. Similarly, the Calogero models associated with other Weyl groups exist. The system, which has the additional interactions with the boundary at the origin and the mirror image particles, is called the B_N -Calogero model.^{28,29)} The orthogonal basis for the B_N -Calogero model is known to be the multivariable Laguerre polynomial which is even in each variable. For the B_N -Calogero model with distinguishable particles, i.e. including both the coordinate exchange and reflection operators, the nonsymmetric eigenfunctions of the Cherednik operators have already been constructed by the Knop-Sahi method.²⁵⁾ However they are also restricted to functions which are even in each variable. In this paper, extending the Takamura-Takano method, we shall present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial. Our method enables an algebraic construction of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial which holds different parities for each variable.

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 is devoted to a summary of the Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation for the B_N -Calogero model. In §3, we shall present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial which is allowed to hold different parities for each variable. We shall calculate their norms in §4. The final section is devoted to concluding remarks.

§2. Dunkl-Cherednik Operator Formulation for the B_N -Calogero Model

We briefly summarize the Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation for the B_N -Calogero model. The B_N -Calogero Hamiltonian with both the coordinate exchange and reflection operators is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_C^{(B)} = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(p_j^2 + \omega^2 x_j^2 + \frac{b(b-t_j)}{x_j^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \left(\frac{a(a-K_{jk})}{(x_j-x_k)^2} + \frac{a(a-t_j t_k K_{jk})}{(x_j+x_k)^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where b is another coupling parameter and t_j is the reflection operator,

$$t_j f(\cdots, x_j, \cdots) = f(\cdots, -x_j, \cdots). \quad (2.2)$$

Hereafter we call eq. (2.1) the B_N -Calogero model with distinguishable particles. The ground state wave function and the ground state energy are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g^{(B)}(\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} |x_j^2 - x_k^2|^a \prod_{l=1}^N |x_l|^b \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega \sum_{m=1}^N x_m^2\right), \\ E_g^{(B)} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega N(1 + 2(N-1)a + 2b), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

respectively. For the sake of simplicity, we write $f(\mathbf{x})$ for a function with N variables, $f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N)$. To investigate the polynomial part of the eigenfunction, we carry

out a similarity transformation on the Hamiltonian (2.1) with the ground state (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} H_C^{(B)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\phi_g^{(B)}(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} (\hat{H}_C^{(B)} - E_g^{(B)}) \phi_g^{(B)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\omega x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{b}{2x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{b(t_j-1)}{2x_j^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} a \sum_{j \neq k} \left(\frac{2}{x_j^2 - x_k^2} \left(x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{K_{jk}-1}{(x_j-x_k)^2} + \frac{t_j t_k K_{jk}-1}{(x_j+x_k)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For the transformed Hamiltonian, the ground state wave function is unity and the ground state energy is zero. In what follows, we sometimes call the operator (2.4) the B_N -Calogero Hamiltonian.

The Dunkl operators associated with the B_N -type Weyl group are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{b(1-t_j)}{x_j} \\ &\quad + a \sum_{k(\neq j)} \left(\frac{1-K_{jk}}{x_j-x_k} + \frac{1-t_j t_k K_{jk}}{x_j+x_k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The commutation relation with coordinates x_j is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_j, x_k] &= \delta_{jk} \left(1 + a \sum_{l(\neq j)} (1+t_j t_l) K_{jl} + 2b t_j \right) \\ &\quad - (1-\delta_{jk}) a (1-t_j t_k) K_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We introduce the creation- and annihilation-like operators and Cherednik operators for the B_N -Calogero model,

$$\alpha_j^\dagger \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_j - \frac{1}{2\omega} \nabla_j, \quad \alpha_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_j, \quad (2.7)$$

$$d_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_j^\dagger \alpha_j + a \sum_{k=j+1}^N (1+t_j t_k) K_{jk} + b t_j. \quad (2.8)$$

Strictly, the operators $\alpha_j^\dagger$ and α_j are not Hermitian conjugate each other. But we still use the notation $\dagger$ since they have the relation $\phi_g^{(B)} \alpha_j^\dagger (\phi_g^{(B)})^{-1} = (\phi_g^{(B)} \alpha_j (\phi_g^{(B)})^{-1})^\dagger$ after recovering the effect of the ground state (2.3). Although there exist different definitions for the Cherednik operator,^{28,29)} we select the one (2.8) for convenience of later discussions. Commutation relations among these Dunkl-Cherednik operators are given as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_j^\dagger, \alpha_k^\dagger] &= [\alpha_j, \alpha_k] = [d_j, d_k] = 0, \\ [\alpha_j, \alpha_k^\dagger] &= \delta_{jk} \left(1 + a \sum_{l(\neq j)} (1+t_j t_l) K_{jl} + 2b t_j \right) \\ &\quad - (1-\delta_{jk}) a (1-t_j t_k) K_{jk}, \\ [d_j, \alpha_k^\dagger] &= \delta_{jk} \left(\alpha_j^\dagger + a \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \alpha_j^\dagger (1+t_j t_l) K_{jl} + a \sum_{l=j+1}^N \alpha_l^\dagger (1-t_j t_l) K_{jl} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -a\left(\Theta(j-k)\alpha_j^\dagger(1-t_j t_k)K_{jk} + \Theta(k-j)\alpha_k^\dagger(1+t_j t_k)K_{jk}\right), \\
& [d_j, \alpha_k] \\
& = -\delta_{jk}\left(\alpha_j + a\sum_{l=1}^{j-1}(1+t_j t_l)K_{jl}\alpha_j + a\sum_{l=j+1}^N(1-t_j t_l)K_{jl}\alpha_l\right) \\
& + a\left(\Theta(j-k)(1-t_j t_k)K_{jk}\alpha_j + \Theta(k-j)(1+t_j t_k)K_{jk}\alpha_k\right), \\
& \quad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function,

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & (x \leq 0), \\ 1, & (x > 0). \end{cases}$$

The Cherednik operators obey the following relations with the coordinate exchange and reflection operators,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_j K_{j,j+1} - K_{j,j+1} d_{j+1} &= a(1+t_j t_{j+1}), \\
d_{j+1} K_{j,j+1} - K_{j,j+1} d_j &= -a(1+t_j t_{j+1}), \\
[d_j, K_{k,k+1}] &= 0, \quad (j \neq k, k+1), \\
[d_j, t_k] &= 0. \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the Cherednik operator d_j , the Hamiltonian (2.4) is rewritten as

$$H_C^{(B)} = \omega \sum_{j=1}^N \left(d_j - (N-1)a - b \right). \quad (2.11)$$

It is well-known that the power sums of the Cherednik operators provide the mutually commuting conserved operators for the B_N -Calogero model since $\{d_j\}$ mutually commute, $[d_j, d_k] = 0$. Equation (2.11) shows that the Hamiltonian (2.4), which is one of the conserved operators, is written by the commuting Cherednik operators. Thus the Cherednik operators $\{d_j\}$ themselves are the conserved operators for the B_N -Calogero model with distinguishable particles. Because the Cherednik operators and the reflection operators mutually commute (2.10), the simultaneous eigenfunction of the Cherednik operators is, at the same time, the simultaneous eigenfunction of the reflection operators. In other words, the parities for each variable are good quantum numbers. As we shall see shortly in the definition of the simultaneous eigenfunction (2.12a), this property appears as a restriction on a parity for a variable.

All the eigenfunctions are labeled by the symbol λ_σ (composition) consisting of a partition λ and a distinct permutation $\sigma \in S_N$. A partition λ is a sequence of N nonnegative integers,

$$\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_N \geq 0\}.$$

Distinct permutations σ and τ must satisfy $\lambda_{\sigma(j)} \neq \lambda_{\tau(j)}$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. To define the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials, we introduce the Bruhat order $\stackrel{B}{<}$,

$$\mu_\tau \stackrel{B}{<} \lambda_\sigma \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 1) & \mu \stackrel{D}{<} \lambda, \\ 2) & \text{when } \mu = \lambda \text{ then the first} \\ & \text{non-vanishing difference} \\ & \tau(j) - \sigma(j) > 0, \end{cases}$$

where the symbol $\stackrel{D}{<}$ is the dominance order,

$$\mu \stackrel{D}{<} \lambda \Leftrightarrow \mu \neq \lambda, |\mu| = |\lambda| \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^l \mu_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \lambda_k,$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$. A set of indistinct permutations for a partition λ ,

$$\{\sigma\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\tau \in S_N | \lambda_\tau = \lambda_\sigma \text{ and } \lambda_\tau \stackrel{B}{>} \lambda_\sigma \text{ for } \tau \neq \sigma\},$$

is represented by the permutation σ which gives the minimum in the sense of the Bruhat order $\stackrel{B}{<}$. The nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial, $l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; 1/a, 1/b, \omega)$, is the nondegenerate simultaneous eigenfunction of the Cherednik operators $\{d_j\}$ with the coefficient of its top term $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda_\sigma} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma(1)}} x_2^{\lambda_{\sigma(2)}} \cdots x_N^{\lambda_{\sigma(N)}}$ conventionally taken to be unity,

$$\begin{aligned}
l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; 1/a, 1/b, \omega) &= \mathbf{x}^{\lambda_\sigma} + \sum w_{\lambda_\sigma \mu_\tau}(a, b, \frac{1}{2\omega}) \mathbf{x}^{\mu_\tau}, \\
& \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \mu_\tau \stackrel{B}{<} \lambda_\sigma \text{ or } |\mu| < |\lambda| \\ \text{and } \forall k, \mu_{\tau(k)} \equiv \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \pmod{2} \end{array} \right) \quad (2.12a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$d_j l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; 1/a, 1/b, \omega) = \bar{\lambda}_{\sigma(j)} l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}; 1/a, 1/b, \omega), \quad (2.12b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\lambda}_{\sigma(j)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_{\sigma(j)} + 2a \left(\#\{1 \leq k < j | \lambda_{\sigma(k)} < \lambda_{\sigma(j)}\} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \#\{j < k \leq N | \lambda_{\sigma(k)} \leq \lambda_{\sigma(j)}\} \right) + b.
\end{aligned}$$

From eq. (2.12a), we see that each monomial, $\mathbf{x}^{\lambda_\sigma}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\mu_\tau}$, in the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial has the same parity for a variable. The energy eigenvalue of the eigenfunction l_{λ_σ} is given by

$$H_C^{(B)} l_{\lambda_\sigma} = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_{\sigma(k)} l_{\lambda_\sigma}.$$

The nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials span the orthogonal basis for the B_N -Calogero model with distinguishable particles.

§3. Rodrigues Formula for the Nonsymmetric Multivariable Laguerre Polynomial

Generalizing the method developed by Takamura and Takano for the nonsymmetric Jack polynomial,²⁶⁾ we shall present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial.

We introduce two types of operators. The first type is the braid-exclusion operator for the B_N -Calogero model,

$$X_{j,j+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i[d_j, K_{j,j+1}], \quad (3.1)$$

which satisfies the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned}
d_j X_{j,j+1} - X_{j,j+1} d_{j+1} &= 0, \\
d_{j+1} X_{j,j+1} - X_{j,j+1} d_j &= 0, \\
[d_j, X_{k,k+1}] &= 0, \quad (j \neq k, k+1), \\
t_j X_{j,j+1} - X_{j,j+1} t_{j+1} &= 0, \\
t_{j+1} X_{j,j+1} - X_{j,j+1} t_j &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$[t_j, X_{k,k+1}] = 0, \quad (j \neq k, k+1). \quad (3.2)$$

From the above relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_{j,j+1}^2 &= (d_j - d_{j+1})^2 - 2a^2(1 + t_j t_{j+1}), \\ X_{j,j+1} X_{j+1,j+2} X_{j,j+1} &= X_{j+1,j+2} X_{j,j+1} X_{j+1,j+2}, \\ [X_{j,j+1}, X_{k,k+1}] &= 0, \quad (|j - k| \geq 2), \\ t_j X_{j,j+1} t_{j+1} X_{j,j+1} &= X_{j,j+1} t_{j+1} X_{j,j+1} t_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The second and fourth equations of (3.3) are of the same form as the Yang-Baxter and the reflection relations, respectively. The operator $X_{j,j+1}$ is Hermitian, $X_{j,j+1}^\dagger = X_{j,j+1}$, but has no inverse operator since there exists an eigenstate of the operator $X_{j,j+1}^2$ whose eigenvalue is zero.

The operators of the second type are the raising and lowering operators. To construct them, we first introduce the Knop-Sahi operators²³⁾ for the B_N -Calogero model,

$$\begin{aligned} e^\dagger &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_{N,N-1} \cdots K_{2,1} \alpha_1^\dagger, \\ e &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (e^\dagger)^\dagger = \alpha_1 K_{1,2} \cdots K_{N-1,N}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The above definitions (3.4) are different from those introduced by Baker and Forrester.²⁵⁾ We do not impose the restriction to eigenfunctions such that they should be even in each variable x_j . The Knop-Sahi operator is related to eqs. (2.8), (3.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} d_j e^\dagger &= e^\dagger d_{j+1}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1), \\ d_N e^\dagger &= e^\dagger (d_1 + 1), \\ X_{j,j+1} e^\dagger &= e^\dagger X_{j+1,j+2}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-2), \\ X_{N-1,N} (e^\dagger)^2 &= (e^\dagger)^2 X_{1,2}, \\ t_j e^\dagger &= e^\dagger t_{j+1}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1), \\ t_N e^\dagger &= -e^\dagger t_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Next, using the braid-exclusion and Knop-Sahi operators, we define the constituent operators,

$$\begin{aligned} b_j^\dagger &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_{j,j+1} \cdots X_{N-1,N} e^\dagger, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1), \\ b_N^\dagger &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^\dagger, \\ b_j &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_j^\dagger)^\dagger = e X_{N,N-1} \cdots X_{j,j+1}, \quad (j = 1, \dots, N-1), \\ b_N &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_N^\dagger)^\dagger = e. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

With the help of eqs. (3.5), we have the relations,

$$\begin{aligned} d_j b_k^\dagger &= \begin{cases} b_k^\dagger d_{j+1}, & (1 \leq j \leq k-1), \\ b_k^\dagger (d_1 + 1), & (j = k), \\ b_k^\dagger d_j, & (k+1 \leq j \leq N), \end{cases} \\ X_{j,j+1} b_k^\dagger &= \begin{cases} b_k^\dagger X_{j,j+1}, & (1 \leq k \leq j-1), \\ b_{j+1}^\dagger ((d_{j+1} - d_1)^2 - 2a^2(1 - t_{j+1} t_1)), & (k = j), \\ b_j^\dagger, & (k = j+1), \\ b_k^\dagger X_{j+1,j+2}, & (j+2 \leq k \leq N), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_j b_k^\dagger &= \begin{cases} b_k^\dagger t_j, & (1 \leq k \leq j-1), \\ -b_j^\dagger t_1, & (k = j), \\ b_k^\dagger t_{j+1}, & (j+1 \leq k \leq N), \end{cases} \\ b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger &= \begin{cases} b_k^\dagger b_{j+1}^\dagger X_{1,2}, & (j < k), \\ X_{k-1,k} \cdots X_{j-2,j-1} (b_j^\dagger)^2, & (j \geq k). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the end, we define the raising and lowering operators for the B_N -Calogero model as follows,

$$a_j^\dagger \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_j^\dagger)^j, \quad a_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_j)^\dagger. \quad (3.8)$$

The commutation relations with the Cherednik operator d_j are simply expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} [d_j, a_k^\dagger] &= \begin{cases} 0, & (j > k), \\ a_k^\dagger, & (j \leq k), \end{cases} \\ [a_j^\dagger, a_k^\dagger] &= [a_j, a_k] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From the above relations, we notice that the raising operator $a_k^\dagger$ increases the eigenvalue of the Cherednik operator d_j ($j \leq k$) by one. Thus the simultaneous eigenfunction for the Cherednik operators $\{d_j\}$ takes the form,

$$\tilde{l}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_1^\dagger)^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (a_2^\dagger)^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \cdots (a_N^\dagger)^{\lambda_N} |0\rangle, \quad (3.10)$$

where $|0\rangle = 1$ is the ground state, and the eigenvalue is

$$d_j \tilde{l}_\lambda = (\lambda_j + 2(N - j)a + b) \tilde{l}_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_j \tilde{l}_\lambda. \quad (3.11)$$

Comparing eq. (3.11) with eq. (2.12b), we identify eq. (3.10) as the eigenstate with the composition λ_{id} .

Now, we consider the eigenstates with the general composition λ_σ . The first and second relations of eqs. (3.2) show that the braid-exclusion operator $X_{j,j+1}$ acts on an eigenstate to exchange the eigenvalue of d_j (d_{j+1}) for that of d_{j+1} (d_j). For a composition λ_σ where the distinct permutation σ is expressed by the product of transpositions as

$$\sigma = (k_l, k_l + 1) \cdots (k_2, k_2 + 1)(k_1, k_1 + 1),$$

the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric eigenfunction with the composition λ_σ is presented as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_{k_1, k_1+1} X_{k_2, k_2+1} \cdots X_{k_l, k_l+1} \\ &\quad \times (a_1^\dagger)^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (a_2^\dagger)^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3} \cdots (a_N^\dagger)^{\lambda_N} |0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and the eigenvalue is

$$d_j \tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma} = \bar{\lambda}_{\sigma(j)} \tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}. \quad (3.13)$$

Since the nondegenerate eigenfunction $\tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}$ have the same eigenvalue of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial l_{λ_σ} (2.12a), we conclude that $\tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ is identified with $l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ up to normalization, $\tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \propto l_{\lambda_\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$.

We note that the eigenstate (3.12) is also the simultaneous eigenstate of the reflection operators $\{t_j\}$ since the Cherednik operator d_j commutes with all t_k , i.e. $[d_j, t_k] = 0$. So the parity for a variable x_j of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial is restricted to even or odd as we have explained in the previous section. It is possible that the parity for a variable x_j is different from the one for a variable x_k ($k \neq j$). This means that the eigenstate we have constructed is

allowed to be the functions whose parities are different for each variable. After symmetrization on the variables, the eigenstate including different parities for each variable vanishes and the eigenstate with the single parity for all variables is left. The result reproduces the one by Baker and Forrester.²⁵⁾

§4. Norm Formula

In this section we shall calculate the norm of the eigenfunction obtained in the previous section. The Knop-Sahi operators for the B_N -Calogero model have the following properties,

$$e^\dagger e = d_N - bt_N, \quad ee^\dagger = d_1 + bt_1 + 1.$$

From the definition (3.6) and the above relations, it is easy to verify

$$\begin{aligned} b_j^\dagger b_j &= (d_j - bt_j) \prod_{k=j+1}^N ((d_j - d_k)^2 - 2a^2(1 + t_j t_k)), \\ b_j b_j^\dagger &= (d_1 + bt_j + 1) \prod_{k=j+1}^N ((d_k - d_1 - 1)^2 - 2a^2(1 - t_k t_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the definition of the raising and lowering operators (3.8), we have the following expressions of the number-like operator,

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^\dagger a_j &= \prod_{k=1}^j (d_k - bt_k) \prod_{l=j+1}^N ((d_k - d_l)^2 - 2a^2(1 + t_k t_l)), \\ a_j a_j^\dagger &= \prod_{k=1}^j (d_k + bt_k + 1) \prod_{l=j+1}^N ((d_k - d_l + 1)^2 - 2a^2(1 - t_k t_l)). \end{aligned}$$

We recall the definitions of the inner product and the norm for the B_N -Calogero model,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^N dx_j |\phi_g^{(B)}|^2 f^\dagger(\mathbf{x}) g(\mathbf{x}), \\ |f|^2 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f, f \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Since the Cherednik operators d_j are Hermitian concerning the inner product, the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the inner product,

$$\langle l_{\lambda_\sigma}, l_{\mu_\tau} \rangle = |l_{\lambda_\sigma}|^2 \delta_{\lambda_\sigma, \mu_\tau} \Leftrightarrow \langle \tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}, \tilde{l}_{\mu_\tau} \rangle = |\tilde{l}_{\lambda_\sigma}|^2 \delta_{\lambda_\sigma, \mu_\tau}.$$

The norm of the eigenfunction $\tilde{l}_\lambda$ with the composition $\lambda = \lambda_{\text{id}}$ is calculated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \tilde{l}_\lambda, \tilde{l}_\lambda \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} &= \prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{m=1}^l \prod_{r=1}^{\lambda_l - \lambda_{l+1}} [\bar{\lambda}_m + (-1)^{\lambda_m - r} b - r + 1] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k=l+1}^N [(\bar{\lambda}_m - \bar{\lambda}_k - r + 1)^2 \\ &\quad - 2a^2(1 - (-1)^{\lambda_m + \lambda_k - r})] \\ &= \prod_{l=1}^N \prod_{m=1}^l \prod_{r=1}^{\lambda_l - \lambda_{l+1}} [\lambda_m + 2(N - m)a + (1 + (-1)^{\lambda_m - r})b - r + 1] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times \prod_{k=l+1}^N [(\lambda_m - \lambda_k + 2(k - m)a - r + 1)^2 \\ &\quad - 2a^2(1 - (-1)^{\lambda_m + \lambda_k - r})], \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where the norm of the ground state is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 1, 1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\omega^{N(N-1)a + N(b + \frac{1}{2})}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Gamma((j-1)a + b + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(ja + 1)}{\Gamma(1 + a)}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\Gamma(z)$ being the gamma function. To get the norm of the general eigenstate with the composition λ_σ , we recursively use the first relation of eqs. (3.3). For example, in the case of $\sigma = (j, j+1)$, we perform the calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle X_{j,j+1} \tilde{l}_\lambda, X_{j,j+1} \tilde{l}_\lambda \rangle \\ &= [(\bar{\lambda}_j - \bar{\lambda}_{j+1})^2 - 2a^2(1 + (-1)^{\lambda_j + \lambda_{j+1}})] \langle \tilde{l}_\lambda, \tilde{l}_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can recursively calculate the norms for all the eigenstates.

§5. Concluding Remarks

Generalizing the Takamura-Takano method, we have investigated the B_N -Calogero model with distinguishable particles and algebraically constructed the nonsymmetric eigenfunctions for the model. In other words, we have presented the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial. The formula provides us with not only known eigenfunctions which are even in all variables, but also those which have different parities for each variable.

So far, only nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with even parities for all variables have been considered. Under the restriction on parities, the Cherednik operators for the B_N -Calogero model can be mapped to those for the A_{N-1} -Sutherland model.^{16,24,25,29)} That is, only the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials which can be mapped to the well-investigated (A_{N-1}) -Jack polynomials have been studied. In this paper, we apply an algebraic method to the whole nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with no restriction on parities, which cannot generally be mapped to the nonsymmetric (A_{N-1}) -Jack polynomials, for the first time.

The Calogero model with distinguishable particles we have considered in this paper is mapped to the spin Calogero model by replacing the coordinate exchange operator K_{jk} with the spin exchange operator P_{jk} and identifying the reflection operators as unity. This mapping is possible when the whole eigenfunction is symmetric (or anti-symmetric) under the exchange of particles, i.e. $K_{jk} P_{jk} = 1$ (or -1), and when the parities for each coordinate variable are even. The eigenfunction we have constructed corresponds to the orbital part of the whole one. To obtain the whole eigenfunction of the spin Calogero model, we have to take an appropriate linear combination of products of the orbital and spin parts. We note that the particle exchange operators $K_{jk} P_{jk}$ and the reflection operators t_j do not generally com-

mute, $t_j K_{jk} P_{jk} = K_{jk} P_{jk} t_k$, which is the reason why the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with different parities for each coordinate variable have not been studied. However the abandoned eigenstate is necessary when we solve the diagonalization problem for a more general B_N -Calogero model explicitly including the reflection operators in the Hamiltonian.

In the limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial reduces to the nonsymmetric B_N -Jack polynomial discussed in previous papers.^{30,31)} We should note that the Rodrigues formula and an algebraic calculation of the norm of the nonsymmetric B_N -Jack polynomials are given in a parallel way to those of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial. The nonsymmetric B_N -Jack polynomials diagonalize the Cherednik operators

$$D_j = x_j \nabla_j + a \sum_{k=j+1}^N (1 + t_j t_k) K_{jk} + b t_j,$$

whose physical significance is not clear at the moment.

An algebraic approach is very useful for construction of eigenstates and calculation of physical quantities, because of the connection with the concept of field theory. It is interesting to verify whether the symmetrized Takamura-Takano raising operators agree with the ones constructed by the Lapointe-Vinet method,^{8,9)} which is left for future study.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Dr. A. Takamura for sending us the paper²⁶⁾ prior to publication.

One of the authors (HU) appreciates the Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

-
- 1) F. Calogero: J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971) 419.
 - 2) B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. A **4** (1971) 2019.
 - 3) B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. A **5** (1972) 1372.
 - 4) C. Dunkl: Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **311** (1989) 167.
 - 5) I. Cherednik: Inv. Math. **106** (1991) 411.
 - 6) H. Jack: Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **69** (1970) 1.
 - 7) I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995) 2nd ed.
 - 8) L. Lapointe and L. Vinet: IMRN **9** (1995) 419.
 - 9) L. Lapointe and L. Vinet: Commun. Math. Phys. **178** (1996) 425.
 - 10) Z. N. C Ha : Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 1574.
 - 11) Z. N. C Ha : Nucl. Phys. B **435** (1995) 604.
 - 12) K. Takamura and D. Uglov: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** (1997) 3685.
 - 13) K. Takamura: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** (1997) 6185.
 - 14) H. Ujino and M. Wadati: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** (1996) 2423.
 - 15) H. Ujino and M. Wadati: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 345.
 - 16) T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester: Commun. Math. Phys. **188** (1997) 175.
 - 17) F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 635.
 - 18) B. S. Shastri: Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988) 639.
 - 19) F. D. M. Haldane, Z. N. C. Ha, J. C. Talstra, D. Bernard, and V. Pasquier: Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 2021.
 - 20) D. Bernard, M. Gaudin, F. D. M. Haldane and V. Pasquier: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26** (1993) 5219.
 - 21) D. Bernard, V. Pasquier and D. Serban: Nucl. Phys. B **428** (1994) 612.
 - 22) K. Hikami and M. Wadati: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62** (1993) 469.
 - 23) F. Knop and S. Sahi: Invent. Math. **128** (1997) 9.
 - 24) T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester: Nucl. Phys. B **492** (1997) 682.
 - 25) T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester: preprint (q-alg/9612003) to be published in Duke J. Math.
 - 26) K. Takamura and K. Takano: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31** (1998) L473.
 - 27) H. Ujino and M. Wadati: to be published in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.
 - 28) T. Yamamoto: Phys. Lett. A **208** (1994) 293.
 - 29) S. Kakei: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **30** (1997) L535.
 - 30) H. Ujino, A. Nishino and M. Wadati: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67** (1997) 2658.
 - 31) H. Ujino, A. Nishino and M. Wadati: to be published in Phys. Lett. A.